

Benefit Assessment Program

Annual Engineer's Report Fiscal Year 2008-2009

Alameda County Public Works Agency

399 Elmhurst Street

Hayward, CA 94544

www.acgov.org/pwa; PH: 510-670-5480

May 13, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	Introduction	2
II.	District Zones	2
	Map - Alameda County Flood Control Zones	3
Ш.	Background	4
IV.	Benefit Assessments	4
V.	Approved Assessments	5 and 6
	Table 1 - Benefit Assessment Rates	5
	Table 2 – Land Use Categories	6
VI.	Historical Assessments	6
VII.	Sample Assessment Calculations	7
VIII	. Engineer's Statement	8
	Table 3 – Estimated Revenue and Appropriations	8



III. BACKGROUND

Historically, the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (District) levied a District-wide tax and zone assessments on an ad valorem basis under the District Act, Section 12. After the passage of Proposition 13, follow-up legislation (SB 154) defined special ad valorem assessments as taxes. This meant that District special assessments were subject to Proposition 13 limitations.

The State Legislature recognized the vital public safety service furnished by flood control districts and passed AB 549 (Frazee), the Benefit Assessment Act of 1982. The Act authorized flood control districts to levy benefit assessments to help finance their operations. It required that assessments be proportionate to the runoff from each parcel of property and that the levy of such assessments be approved by a vote of the people being assessed.

The Benefit Assessment Program for Zones 2, 2A, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 12 and 13 was adopted by the Alameda County Board of Supervisors on September 18, 1979, following two public hearings.

The Program was continued by voter approval (Average 73.4%) in June 1980. In 1982, AB 630 (Frazee) repealed AB 549 and authorized the District to continue to levy benefit assessments based on the proportionate amount of storm water runoff from each parcel of property.

In FY 1984/85, Zone 9 began its benefit assessment program by voter approval at 72.5%.

IV. BENEFIT ASSESSMENTS

Law requires that assessments be based on estimated benefit, which may be based on the proportionate amount of storm water runoff from each parcel of property. The amount of runoff relates directly to each parcel's size and use. Larger parcels would generate greater runoff, as would more highly developed parcels with a greater amount of impervious surfaces.

The Board established five categories of land use for properties in the District (see Page 6, Table 2).

Benefit Assessments are levied and collected at the same time as the County property taxes.

FC BAP Engineer's Report FY 2008-09

V. APPROVED ASSESSMENTS

The assessment rates listed in the Benefit Assessment Table below are necessary for the District to continue to operate and maintain the existing flood control system.

TABLE 1 – BENEFIT ASSESSMENT ANNUAL RATES 2008-09 FISCAL YEAR

Group A Group B Group C Group C Group C Group B Group B Group B Min.						Γ	Land Use (Land Use Categories					
Min. Min. </th <th></th> <th>Gro</th> <th>up A</th> <th>Gro</th> <th>up B</th> <th>Group B-</th> <th>Condos</th> <th>Gro</th> <th>up C</th> <th>Gro</th> <th>oup D</th> <th>Gro</th> <th>up E</th>		Gro	up A	Gro	up B	Group B-	Condos	Gro	up C	Gro	oup D	Gro	up E
212.80 53.20 159.60 39.90 0.60 266 * 26.60 1.33 13.30 0.80 212.80 53.20 159.60 39.90 0.60 266 * 26.60 1.33 13.30 0.80 164.80 41.20 123.60 30.90 0.60 266 * 20.60 1.03 10.30 0.80 212.80 53.20 159.60 30.90 0.60 206 * 20.60 1.03 10.30 0.80 212.80 53.20 159.60 39.90 0.60 266 * 220.60 1.03 10.30 0.60 256.00 64.00 192.00 48.00 0.60 266 * 32.00 1.60 16.00 0.60 142.40 35.60 106.80 26.70 0.60 178 * 17.80 0.890 0.60 128.00 32.00 16.00 0.60 160 * 16.00 0.60 0.60 16.00 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.890 0.60 0.60 16.00 <th>Zone</th> <th>\$/Acre</th> <th>Min. Assmt. \$</th> <th>\$/Acre</th> <th>Min. Assmt. \$</th> <th>Charge Factor \$</th> <th>Rate \$</th> <th>S/Acre</th> <th>Min. Assmt. \$</th> <th>\$/Acre</th> <th>Min. Assmt. \$</th> <th>\$/Acre</th> <th>Min. Assmt. \$</th>	Zone	\$/Acre	Min. Assmt. \$	\$/Acre	Min. Assmt. \$	Charge Factor \$	Rate \$	S/Acre	Min. Assmt. \$	\$/Acre	Min. Assmt. \$	\$/Acre	Min. Assmt. \$
212.80 53.20 159.60 39.90 0.60 266 * 26.60 1.33 13.30 0.80 164.80 41.20 123.60 30.90 0.60 206 * 20.60 1.03 10.30 0.62 212.80 53.20 159.60 39.90 0.60 266 * 26.60 1.33 13.30 0.80 256.00 64.00 192.00 48.00 0.60 266 * 32.00 1.60 0.80 0.80 142.40 35.60 192.00 24.00 0.60 160 * 17.80 0.89 8.90 0.53 128.00 32.00 24.00 0.60 160 * 16.00 0.80 0.53 128.01 32.00 160 160 * 16.00 0.80 0.53 128.02 32.00 26.60 1 * 16.00 0.80 0.53 128.03 32.00 16.00 0.60 * 16.00 0.80 0.53 128.04 32.00 16.00 0	5	212.80	53.20	159.60	39.90	0.60	266	*	26.60	1.33	13.30	0.80	13.30
164.80 41.20 123.60 30.90 0.60 206 * 20.60 1.03 10.30 0.62 212.80 53.20 159.60 39.90 0.60 266 * 26.60 1.33 13.30 0.80 256.00 64.00 192.00 48.00 0.60 320 * 32.00 1.60 16.00 0.96 142.40 35.60 106.80 26.70 0.60 178 * 17.80 0.89 8.90 0.53 142.40 35.60 106.80 24.00 0.60 178 * 17.80 0.89 8.90 0.53 128.00 32.00 96.00 24.00 0.60 160 * 16.00 0.89 8.90 0.53 128.00 32.00 96.00 24.00 0.60 160 * 16.00 0.80 8.90 0.53 0.50 24.00 0.60 160 $*$ 16.00 0.80 8.90 0.53 0.50 24.00 0.60 160 $*$ 16.00 0.80 8.90 0.53 0.50 24.00 0.60 160 $*$ 16.00 0.80 8.90 0.53 0.50 25.00 16.00 0.50 24.00 0.60 8.00 0.80 0.53 0.50 178 $*$ 16.00 0.80 0.80 0.80 0.54 0.50 0.50 24.00 0.60 150 8.00 0.80 <td< td=""><td>3A</td><td>212.80</td><td>53.20</td><td>159.60</td><td>39.90</td><td>09.0</td><td>266</td><td>*</td><td>26.60</td><td>1.33</td><td>13.30</td><td>0.80</td><td>13.30</td></td<>	3A	212.80	53.20	159.60	39.90	09.0	266	*	26.60	1.33	13.30	0.80	13.30
212.80 53.20 159.60 39.90 0.60 266 * 26.60 1.33 13.30 0.80 256.00 64.00 192.00 48.00 0.60 320 * 32.00 1.60 16.00 0.96 142.40 35.60 106.80 26.70 0.60 178 * 17.80 0.89 8.90 0.53 128.00 32.00 96.00 24.00 0.60 160 * 16.00 0.89 8.90 0.53 * For areas over 0.25 acres, use the minimum annual rate for first 0.25 acre and the undeveloped land annual rate (Group D) for the remainder.	4	164.80	41.20	123.60	30.90	09.0	206	*	20.60	1.03	10.30	0.62	10.30
256.00 64.00 192.00 48.00 0.60 320 * 32.00 1.60 16.00 0.96 142.40 35.60 106.80 26.70 0.60 178 * 17.80 0.89 8.90 0.53 128.00 32.00 96.00 24.00 0.60 160 * 16.00 0.80 8.90 0.48 *For areas over 0.25 acres, use the minimum annual rate for first 0.25 acre and the undeveloped land annual rate (Group D) for the remainder.	5	212.80	53.20	159.60	39.90	09.0	266	*	26.60	1.33	13.30	0.80	13.30
142.40 35.60 106.80 26.70 0.60 178 * 17.80 0.89 8.90 0.53 128.00 32.00 96.00 24.00 0.60 160 * 16.00 0.80 8.00 0.48 * For areas over 0.25 acres, use the minimum annual rate for first 0.25 acre and the undeveloped land annual rate (Group D) for the remainder.	9	256.00	64.00	192.00	48.00	09.0	320	*	32.00	1.60	16.00	0.96	16.00
128.00 32.00 96.00 24.00 0.60 160 $*$ 16.00 0.80 8.00 0.48 * For areas over 0.25 acres, use the minimum annual rate for first 0.25 acre and the undeveloped land annual rate (Group D) for the remainder.	6	142.40	35.60	106.80	26.70	09.0	178	*	17.80	0.89	8.90	0.53	8.90
* For areas over 0.25 acres, use the minimum annual rate for first 0.25 acre and the undeveloped land annual rate (Group D) for the remainder.	12	128.00	32.00	96.00	24.00	09.0	160	*	16.00	0.80	8.00	0.48	8.00
	* F for t	or areas ov he remaind	er 0.25 acre. ler.	s, use the n	ninimum anı	nual rate fo	r first 0.25	5 acre and	the undevelo	pped land	annual rate ((Group D)	

POO-FLDES-Bap Report Text 08-09

Ś

TABLE 2 – LAND USE CATEGORIES

Group A -	Commercial and Industrial
Group B -	Institutions, Apartments/Condos (5 or more units); (churches, schools, hospitals; apartment and other multiple living, such as condos, mobile home parks, in excess of 4 units)
Group C -	Single Family; Small Multiple Residential/Townhomes (2-4 Units)
Group D -	Undeveloped land-Vacant Land used for (agriculture, open space, farming, vineyards, crops, parks, etc.
Group E -	Vacant Land which is undisturbed (not tilled, planted or irrigated). This land may be used for grazing.

VI. HISTORICAL ASSESSMENTS

The proposed assessments listed below are minimum annual rates for Single Family Residence (SFR) properties. There has not been an increase in benefit assessment rates since Fiscal Year 1993/1994.

Zone	1992-1993	1993-1994	2008-2009
2	\$ 26.60	\$ 26.60	\$ 26.60
2A	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -
3A	26.60	26.60	26.60
4	20.60	20.60	20.60
5	26.60	26.60	26.60
6	26.60	32.00	32.00
9	17.80	17.80	17.80
12	16.00	16.00	16.00
13	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -

VII. SAMPLE ASSESSMENT CALCULATIONS

Assessments are based on three factors: 1) land use (assigned by Assessor); 2) land area (size of parcel expressed in acres); and 3) property location (flood zone).

Parcels with Land Area of 100' X 100'

100' X 100' = 10,000 s.f.

10,000/43,560 = 0.23 acre (1 ac. = 43,560 s.f.)

Minimum Assessments - Parcels with an area less than 0.25 acre

Benefiting properties with 4 or less units per parcel and an area of 0.25 acres or less are charged a minimum assessment per land use category. The following parcel areas are each charged the <u>minimum assessments (see Page 5, Table 1)</u> for their land use category and location.

Examples:

Use Table 1 - Benefit Assessment Rates to determine assessment rate

Location		Land Use Category/Group	Parcel Area	Minimum Assessment	
Zone 5	C	Single Family Residence	0.23 ac.	\$ 26.60	
Zone 12	C	Single Family Residence	0.14 ac.	\$ 16.00	
Zone 2	A	Commercial Property	0.23 ac.	\$ 53.20	

Parcels with an Area greater than 0.25 ac.

		Land Use	Parcel		Rate	
Location		Category/Group	Area		Ac.	Assessment
Zone 2	A	Commercial Property	3.0 ac.	x	212.80	= \$638.40
Zone 2	D	Vacant Land - Vineyard	3.0 ac.	х	1.33	= (\$ 3.99*) 13.30
Zone 5	C	Single Family Residence	1.26 ac.	x	See Below	= \$ 27.94

1. 26 acres = 0.25 acre (minimum assessment)	=	\$ 26.60
1.01 acre at 1.33 per acre =		+1.34
(1.01 acre at the vacant land rate)		

Assessment: \$27.94

Condominiums (5 or more units):

For parcels with condominium improvements (5 or more units), use Group B – Condos charge factor and rate.

- Ex: 17 condos in Oakland (Zone 12); lot size = 0.12 acre; 0.12 ac. ÷ 17 condos = 0.0071 acre per condo.
- Assessment = 0.007 ac./condo x \$0.60 (charge factor) x \$160 (rate) = \$0.6776 or 68 ¢ per condo.

VIII. ENGINEER'S STATEMENT

WHEREAS, by Resolution, on May 13, 2008, the Board of Supervisors of the Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (Board), State of California, in compliance with the Benefit Assessment Act (Act) will accept this Annual Engineer's Report stating the Board's intention to levy benefit assessments for flood control purposes for the 2008-09 Fiscal Year and set public hearing; and

WHEREAS, public notice will be published as required by State of California Government Code and Alameda County Ordinance Code provisions; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution on June 16, 2008, Board will confirm the Annual Engineer's Report for the Benefit Assessment Program and adopt the assessment to be levied against each parcel;

NOW, THEREFORE, the following assessment, with no increases from the prior year, is made in order to cover the portion of the estimated cost of flood maintenance, operation, acquisitions, improvements, and costs and expenses incidental to be paid by the District. Designated capital reserves represent the accumulation of funds over time that are set aside for specific future flood control projects.

TABLE 3 – ESTIMATED REVENUE AND APPROPRIATIONS

Alameda County Flood Control & Water Conservation District Benefit Assessment Program Estimated Revenue and Appropriations 2008 – 2009 FISCAL YEAR

				ESTIMATE	ED REVENUE			
Budget Organization	Flood Control Zones	Total Beginning Fund Balance Available (Estimated)	Property Taxes	Misc. Revenue	Benefit Assessment	Total Revenue	Appro- priations	Designated Capital Reserves
270311	2	2,551	2,782	767	1,608	5,157	6,708	1,000
270321	2A	2,266	217	86	0	303	569	2,000
270331	3A	13,359	3,366	467	1,451	5,284	10,043	8,600
270341	4	408	189	97	204	490	898	0
270351	5	20,267	5,442	1,064	2,106	8,612	10,079	18,800
270361	6	16,062	3,329	1,073	1,691	6,093	6,455	15,700
270371	9	292	161	25	240	426	518	200
270381	12	9,642	5,701	606	2,046	8,353	10,295	7,700
270391	13	8,079	680	273	0	953	3,032	6,000
Т	TOTALS	72,926	21,867	4,458	9,346	35,671	48,597	60,000

(Dollars X 1,000)

FC BAP Engineer's Report FY2008-09

The recommended assessments upon the several subdivisions of land within the District are in proportion to the estimated benefits to be received by the subdivisions, respectively, within the District and each of the listed zones, as described in the Boundary Map on file at the Agency's Elmhurst Street office in Hayward, CA.

By:

Stanley Fung, Engineer of Work R.C.E. 39557, Expires 12/31/09

Dated: $5 - 1 - \alpha f$