



Alameda County

Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan for Unincorporated Areas

April 2012



Spokemore Consulting
Lohnes + Wright

Alameda County
Public Works Agency

Acknowledgements

Board of Supervisors

District 1: Scott Haggerty

District 2: Nadia Lockyer

District 3: Wilma Chan

District 4: Nate Miley, President

District 5: Keith Carson, Vice-President

Public Works Agency Staff

Daniel Woldeisenbet, Ph.D., P.E., Director of Public Works

Arthur G. Carrera, P.E., T.E., Engineering and Transportation Program Manager

Paul J. Keener, Senior Transportation Planner

John Bates, P.E., Supervising Civil Engineer/Traffic Engineer

James Y. Chu, P.E., Supervising Civil Engineer

Cindy Horvath, Senior Transportation Planner

Consultant Team

Carol R. Levine, Spokemore Consulting

Bart Wright, Lohnes + Wright

Michelle DeRobertis

Funding provided by Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ES-1
Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION	1-1
Purpose of the Master Plan	1-2
Benefits of Bicycling and Walking	1-3
Relationship to Other Plans	1-4
Community Involvement in Development of the Plan	1-7
Environmental Review of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan.....	1-7
Setting	1-8
Chapter 2: Goals and Policies.....	2-1
Chapter 3: Bicycle Network	3-1
Bikeway Classifications	3-2
Existing Conditions.....	3-6
Needs Assessment	3-10
Recommended Bicycle Network.....	3-12
Bicycle Support Facilities.....	3-27
Regional Bikeways, Trails, and Networks of Adjacent Jurisdictions	3-32
Chapter 4: Pedestrian Network	4-1
Overview of Pedestrian Facilities.....	4-1
Existing Conditions.....	4-5
Needs Assessment	4-13
Recommended Pedestrian Improvements	4-15
Chapter 5: Safety and Education.....	5-1
Collision Analysis.....	5-1
Safety and Education Programs	5-6
Chapter 6: Implementation	6-1
Project Prioritization	6-1
High Priority Projects	6-3
Past Expenditures	6-9
Pedestrian and Bikeway Facility Costs	6-12
Funding Strategy	6-14
Implementation Strategy	6-15

Table of Contents**List of Tables**

Table ES-1: Recommended Bikeway Network by Facility Type (miles)	ES-5
Table 1-1: Public Schools Attended by Students in the Unincorporated Areas	1-10
Table 1-2: Local Parks in the Unincorporated Areas.....	1-11
Table 1-3: AC Transit Service in the Unincorporated Areas.....	1-13
Table 1-4: Wheels Bus Service in and around the Unincorporated Areas.....	1-14
Table 3-1: Commute to Work Data (Census 2000) for Western Unincorporated Alameda County	3-6
Table 3-2: Existing Bikeways in the Unincorporated Areas (miles)	3-7
Table 3-3: Existing Bikeways in the Unincorporated Areas by Location.....	3-8
Table 3-4: Recommended Bikeway Network by Facility Type (miles)	3-12
Table 3-5: Recommended Spot Improvements for Existing Bike Lanes	3-13
Table 3-6: Class I Bike Path Additions to the Bikeway Network	3-14
Table 3-7: Class II Bike Lane Additions to the Bikeway Network.....	3-14
Table 3-8: Class IIIA Bike Route Additions to the Bikeway Network.....	3-15
Table 3-9: Class IIIB Bike Route Additions to the Bikeway Network.....	3-17
Table 3-10: Class IIIC Bike Route Additions to the Bikeway Network.....	3-17
Table 3-11: Proposed Trails in Unincorporated Alameda County	3-36
Table 4-1: Sidewalk Width Chart.....	4-2
Table 4-2: Population of School Age Children and Seniors in Western Unincorporated Areas	4-5
Table 4-3: School Pedestrian Counts	4-7
Table 5-1: Roadways with Most Reported Bicycle Collisions	5-2
Table 5-2: Roadways with a Reduction in Bicycle Collisions.....	5-3
Table 5-3: Bicycle Collisions By Age of Bicyclist	5-3
Table 5-4: Comparison of Age of Bicyclists Involved in Collisions to Previous Plans.....	5-4
Table 5-5: Roadways with Most Reported Pedestrian Collisions	5-4
Table 5-6: Pedestrian-Involved Collisions By Age of Pedestrian.....	5-5
Table 6-1: Bicycle High Priority Projects by Community.....	6-4
Table 6-2: Bicycle High Priority 'Signage Only' Projects by Community.....	6-6
Table 6-3: Pedestrian High Priority Projects by Community.....	6-7
Table 6-3: Conceptual Unit Cost Estimates for New Bikeway Construction.....	6-12
Table 6-4: Order of Magnitude Cost Estimates for Pedestrian Facilities.....	6-13

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Unincorporated Alameda County.....	1-3
Figure 3-1: Class II bike lane; Colored bike lane.....	3-3
Figure 3-2: Class III bike route signage and Sharrows	3-4
Figure 3-3a: Map 1 Recommended Bikeway Network	3-21
Figure 3-3b: Map 2 Recommended Bikeway Network	3-22
Figure 3-3c: Map 3 Recommended Bikeway Network	3-23
Figure 3-3d: Map 4 Recommended Bikeway Network	3-24
Figure 3-3e: Map 5 Recommended Bikeway Network	3-25
Figure 3-3f: Map 6 Recommended Bikeway Network	3-26
Figure 3-4: Bicycle parking types - Class I and Class II.....	3-28
Figure 3-5: Bikeway and Bicycle Signage	3-310
Figure 3-6: MUTCD D4-3 Bicycle parking sign.....	3-31
Figure 3-7: Map of Bay Area Ridge Trail	3-34
Figure 4-1: Zones of the Sidewalk Corridor	4-1
Figure 4-2: Transverse and ladder crosswalk striping	4-3
Figure 4-3: Curb ramp with detectable warning strip	4-3
Figure 4-4: Curb extension and Refuge island	4-4
Figure 4-5a: Map 1 - Key Pedestrian Activity Corridors	4-11
Figure 4-5b: Map 2 - Key Pedestrian Activity Corridors.....	4-12
Figure 5-1: Bicycle and Pedestrian Collisions (2007 – 2009)	5-11

Appendices

- A. BTA Requirements
- B. Funding Criteria
- C. Recommended Bikeway Network by roadway and geographic area
- D. Recommended Pedestrian Projects by improvement type and by geographic area
- E. Prioritization Worksheets
- F. Funding Sources
- G. Comments and Responses on the Draft Plan
- H. Negative Declaration
- I. Americans with Disabilities Act Transition Plan for Public Rights-of-Way in Unincorporated Alameda County

This Page Intentionally Left Blank